

תוספות ד"ה בשפחתו של מציק (ד' שורות האחרונות)

- 1) What do תוספות write concerning the כהן, and why? _____

 - 2) What is the first reason why the כהן should not have gone to look? _____

 - 3) From where is this learned out? _____

 - 4) What is the second reason why the כהן should not have gone to look? _____

- תוספות ד"ה ספק גררוהו ההיא שעתא
- 5) What is the problem with saying that the בור was in a רה"ר? _____

 - 6) What is the problem with saying that the בור was in a רה"י? _____

 - 7) What is this type of question called? _____
 - 8) What did תוספות say earlier that can resolve this problem? _____
 - 9) Explain how this resolves the problem? _____

 - 10) What is the דין if there is a "פוחת טפח" at the entrance of the burrow? _____

 - 11) Based on this, why can't we say that there is a "פוחת טפח" at the entrance of the burrow? _____

 - 12) What is the דין of someone standing over a burrow that has טומאה in it, that is not "פוחת טפח" at the entrance (according to תוספות)? _____

 - 13) Based on the above, according to the ר"י, explain where the feet of the כהן must have been? _____

 - 14) According to the second explanation of תוספות, explain where the feet of the כהן could have been? _____

 - 15) In conclusion, where was the בור, and where was the כהן? _____

Translate:

_____ גבירתה
_____ דדומיא
_____ בעינן
_____ בני קיימא
_____ לצורך
_____ קאי
_____ משני
_____ דצ"ל
_____ בחורייהו
_____ מועלת
_____ ניחוש
_____ נגד
_____ בוקעת